1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10 11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. C08-5722RJB
12	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING UNITED STATES' MOTION TO REOPEN CASE
13 14	WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	This matter comes before the court on the United States' Motion to Reopen Case (Dkt.	
18	244). The plaintiff asks that the case be reopened for the purpose of determining its Motion to	
19	Enforce Declaratory Judgment (Dkt. 245) in which it seeks further response costs. The	
20	defendant objects to reopening the case for that purpose.	
21	The Amended Corrected Judgment entered in this case at Docket No. 225 recites, "The	
22	liability of the defendant determined here is binding on any subsequent action or actions to	
23	recover further response costs." With that language, the court clearly contemplated the	
24	possibility of further "action" in this case.	

1 It appears also clear that the court has the inherent power to enforce its judgments. 2 It further appears that the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2202, provides the court with the authority to reopen a case such as this. It further appears that CERCLA in 42 3 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2) provides authority for action for further response costs. 5 Pursuant to the aforementioned authority, it is appropriate to grant the United States' Motion to Reopen Case (Dkt. 244) for the limited purpose of determining the United States' 6 7 Motion to Enforce Declaratory Judgment (Dkt. 245). 8 IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and 9 to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address. 10 Dated this 6th day of June, 2014. 12 13 ROBERT J. BRYAN 14 United States District Judge 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

11

24